Functional Skills English Reading Level 2 Sample Paper 4



www.cityandguilds.com April 2020 Version 0-1

Development Plans Mark Scheme



Functional Skills English Level 2 Sample Assessment Reading

Marking Scheme

Development Plans

Marking scheme

Key

Any words in brackets are not essential for the answer, eg, good (news). A slash indicates an alternative answer, eg, paragraphs / sections. (Accept similar wording) allows for paraphrasing and alternative wording.

Reading	Maximum marks	Criteria
1	1 mark	11
What feature in the development plans will benefit bicycle users?		
New cycle lanes		
2	2 marks	18
How will the council turn Hurstbourne Castle into a world-class destination? Give two answers.		
Making it capable of hosting 400,000 visitors annually		
£5 million investment		
(1 mark for each correct answer – maximum 2 marks)		
(accept similar wording)		
3	1 mark	19
Which one of the following words best describes the tone of Document	THURK	13
1?		
d) Formal		
4	2 marks	17
Look at the first paragraph. What are two words or phrases the author		
of Document 1 has used to exaggerate the problems with Hurstbourne		
city centre?		
Two from:		
In desperate need of modernisation		
• intimidating		
• choking (the area)		
stifling (the city centre's ability to grow)		
(1 mark for each correct answer – maximum 2 marks)		

-	4 marks	14
5	4 marks	14
Apart from exaggeration, identify two language techniques used in		
Document 1 to get its message across.		
For each identified technique, give one example from the text.		
Two from:		
a Facts and figures		
• Facts and figures E.g. 250 million		
Persuasive language		
E.g. the castle will finally receive the attention it deserves		
Inclusive language		
E.g. The castle is the pride of our community		
Bias		
E.g. Hurstbourne city centre is in need of investment		
Emotive language		
E.g. in need / stifling		
Positive/optimistic language		
E.g. Transform		
Metaphor		
E.g. choking		
Personification		
E.g. choking		
• Instructions		
E.g. see the full plans here / find out more here		
Repetition		
E.g. we will		
• Listing		
E.g. new shops, restaurants, cafés and a cinema complex		
Accept similar wording. Accept any other justifiable technique and example.		
example.		
1 mark for each correct identified technique, with or without example		
(maximum of 2 marks)		
1 mark for correct linked example (maximum of 2 marks).		
An incorrect example invalidates the technique.		
6	1 mark	15
In which months will work take place on Clumber Street?		
June – September / 6 – 9 / June, July, August, September		

7 What is one link a reader could click on to access more information about the plans for the bus station?	1 mark	16
One from: • See the full plans here • Brand new spacious building		
8 Which one of the following statements is the best summary of Jack Miksza's objection to the redevelopment plans?	1 mark	11
b) The council is investing money in things that are not needed		
9 Find four phrases in Document 2 that suggest not many people currently use Hurstbourne shopping area.	4 marks	13
 Four from: As deserted as ever What we need is more feet on the streets It would be great to get families and kids back into the city centre Make Hurstbourne city centre popular again Breathe life into the area again Will finally have some customers 		
(1 mark for each correct answer – maximum 4 marks)		
10 Select two opinions from the following statements. c) A bus station is only useful for the few people who use public transport e) Development is needed, but not this development	2 marks	18
11 Whose comment agrees with the main article written by Jack Miksza? Kasia	1 mark	18

12 Whose comments have a dismissive tone? Give three answers.	3 marks	19
Gordon Joe5 PB		
(1 mark for each correct answer – maximum 3 marks)		
Reshi says <i>Visitors to the new shopping centre are bound to spill out into the high street too.</i> What does he mean by this?	1 mark	13
b) Shopping centre customers will also visit high street shops		

14	3 marks	12
Compare opinions from Document 1 and Document 2 about the plans for the new library.		
Opinions from Document 1		
For a new library		
It will be high-quality		
It will attract families back into the city centre.		
This will give locals somewhere to study or relax in comfort.		
Opinions from Document 2		
Against a new library		
 Our city centre doesn't need this waste of space. 		
 It's not like anyone goes to a library to relax or have fun. 		
 A play area would be a much better solution (to getting families back into the city centre). 		
 If people want to access children's books, they can just visit a book shop. 		
3 marks in total:		
Evidence from 1 document only	0 marks	
1 piece of evidence from each document		
1 piece of evidence from each document + 1 explicit comparison	1 mark	
1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other	2 marks	
1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1	2 marks	
explicit comparison	3 marks	
1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons	3 marks	
Maximum 3 marks.		
NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element.		
The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on three separate occasions (underlined).		
Eg: Document 1 is for building a central library whereas Document 2 is against. Document 1 feels it would attract families back into the city centre. Document 2 agrees it is important to attract families but thinks play area would be a better way to do that.		

Compare how Documents 1 and 2 use language and/ or layout techniques to convey information about the castle. Ways conveyed in Document 1 only Links Hyperbole / exaggeration Bias Inclusive language Emotive language Emotive language Enthusiastic tone Proud tone Ways conveyed in Document 2 only Rhetorical question Capital letters Dismissive tone Ways conveyed in both Documents Bullets points Statistics / facts Persuasive language Accept any other justifiable technique. 3 marks in total: Evidence from 1 document only 1 piece of evidence from each document 1 piece of evidence from each document and 2 from the other 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparison 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison not wo separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle in contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the castle.	15	2 marks	12
techniques to convey information about the castle. Ways conveyed in Document 1 only Links Hyperbole / exaggeration Bias Inclusive language Emotive language Enthussatic tone Proud tone Ways conveyed in Document 2 only Rhetorical question Capital letters Dismissive tone Ways conveyed in both Documents Bullets points Statistics / facts Persuasive language Accept any other justifiable technique. 3 marks in total: Evidence from 1 document only 1 piece of evidence from each document 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other 1 explicit comparison 1 piece of evidence from each 4 explicit comparisons 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle Incontrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	Compare how Decuments 1 and 2 use language and / or layout	3 marks	12
Ways conveyed in Document 1 only Links Hyperbole / exaggeration Blas Inclusive language Emotive language Emotive language Enthusiastic tone Proud tone Ways conveyed in Document 2 only Rhetorical question Capital letters Dismissive tone Ways conveyed in both Documents Bullets points Statistics / facts Persuasive language Accept any other justifiable technique. 3 marks in total: Evidence from 1 document only 1 piece of evidence from each document + 1 explicit comparison 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1 explicit comparison 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1 explicit comparison 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons 3 marks 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the caste in contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the			
 Links Hyperbole / exaggeration Bias Inclusive language Emotive language Enthusiastic tone Proud tone Ways conveyed in Document 2 only Rhetorical question Capital letters Dismissive tone Ways conveyed in both Documents Bullets points Statistics / facts Persuasive language Accept any other justifiable technique. 3 marks in total: Evidence from 1 document only piece of evidence from each document piece of evidence from each document + 1 explicit comparison piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1 explicit comparison piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons marks piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. Ms: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle Incontrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	teesques to convey information about the custic.		
 Hyperbole / exaggeration Bias Inclusive language Emotive language Emotive language Emotive language Emotive language Enthusiastic tone Proud tone Ways conveyed in Document 2 only Rhetorical question Capital letters Dismissive tone Ways conveyed in both Documents Bullets points Statistics / facts Persuasive language Accept any other justifiable technique. 3 marks in total: Evidence from 1 document only piece of evidence from each document piece of evidence from each document + 1 explicit comparison piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1 explicit comparison piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. Maximum 3 marks. Maximum 3 marks be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	Ways conveyed in Document 1 only		
Bias Inclusive language Emotive language Emotive language Enthusiastic tone Proud tone Ways conveyed in Document 2 only Rhetorical question Capital letters Dismissive tone Ways conveyed in both Documents Bullets points Statistics / facts Persuasive language Accept any other justifiable technique. 3 marks in total: Evidence from 1 document only 1 piece of evidence from each document 1 piece of evidence from each document + 1 explicit comparison 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1 explicit comparison 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle Incontrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	• Links		
Inclusive language Emotive language Enthusiastic tone Proud tone Ways conveyed in Document 2 only Rhetorical question Capital letters Dismissive tone Ways conveyed in both Documents Bullets points Statistics / facts Persuasive language Accept any other justifiable technique. 3 marks in total: Evidence from 1 document only 1 piece of evidence from each document 1 piece of evidence from each document + 1 explicit comparison 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1 explicit comparison 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle in contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the			
Emotive language Enthusiastic tone Proud tone Ways conveyed in Document 2 only Rhetorical question Capital letters Dismissive tone Ways conveyed in both Documents Bullets points Statistics / facts Persuasive language Accept any other justifiable technique. 3 marks in total: Evidence from 1 document only 1 piece of evidence from each document 1 piece of evidence from each document + 1 explicit comparison 1 mark 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparison 3 marks 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparison 4 mark NB: comparison smust be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the			
Enthusiastic tone Proud tone Ways conveyed in Document 2 only Rhetorical question Capital letters Dismissive tone Ways conveyed in both Documents Bullets points Statistics / facts Persuasive language Accept any other justifiable technique. marks in total: Evidence from 1 document only piece of evidence from each document piece of evidence from each document piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from each 4 explicit comparison piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons marks piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the			
Proud tone Ways conveyed in Document 2 only Rhetorical question Capital letters Dismissive tone Ways conveyed in both Documents Bullets points Statistics / facts Persuasive language Accept any other justifiable technique. marks in total: Evidence from 1 document only piece of evidence from each document piece of evidence from each document piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparison piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparison piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the			
Ways conveyed in Document 2 only Rhetorical question Capital letters Dismissive tone Ways conveyed in both Documents Bullets points Statistics / facts Persuasive language Accept any other justifiable technique. 3 marks in total: Evidence from 1 document only piece of evidence from each document piece of evidence from each document piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparison a) marks piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Asximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle Incontrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the			
 Rhetorical question Capital letters Dismissive tone Ways conveyed in both Documents Bullets points Statistics / facts Persuasive language Accept any other justifiable technique. 3 marks in total: Evidence from 1 document only piece of evidence from each document piece of evidence from each document + 1 explicit comparison piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1 explicit comparison piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castie in contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the The castie in contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	Troug tone		
Capital letters Dismissive tone Ways conveyed in both Documents Bullets points Statistics / facts Persuasive language Accept any other justifiable technique. marks in total: Evidence from 1 document only piece of evidence from each document piece of evidence from each document 1 explicit comparison piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other 2 marks piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other 1 explicit comparison piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle Incontrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	Ways conveyed in Document 2 only		
Dismissive tone Ways conveyed in both Documents Bullets points Statistics / facts Persuasive language Accept any other justifiable technique. marks in total: Evidence from 1 document only piece of evidence from each document piece of evidence from each document piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other marks piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle in contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	·		
Ways conveyed in both Documents Bullets points Statistics / facts Persuasive language Accept any other justifiable technique. 3 marks in total: Evidence from 1 document only piece of evidence from each document piece of evidence from each document 1 explicit comparison piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other 1 explicit comparison piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	•		
 Bullets points Statistics / facts Persuasive language Accept any other justifiable technique. 3 marks in total: Evidence from 1 document only 1 piece of evidence from each document 1 piece of evidence from each document + 1 explicit comparison 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other 2 marks 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1 explicit comparison 3 marks 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle in contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	Dismissive tone		
 Bullets points Statistics / facts Persuasive language Accept any other justifiable technique. 3 marks in total: Evidence from 1 document only 1 piece of evidence from each document 1 piece of evidence from each document + 1 explicit comparison 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other 2 marks 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1 explicit comparison 3 marks 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle in contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	Ways conveyed in both Documents		
Statistics / facts Persuasive language Accept any other justifiable technique. 3 marks in total: Evidence from 1 document only 1 piece of evidence from each document 1 piece of evidence from each document + 1 explicit comparison 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1 explicit comparison 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1 explicit comparison 3 marks 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the			
Accept any other justifiable technique. 3 marks in total: Evidence from 1 document only 1 piece of evidence from each document 1 piece of evidence from each document + 1 explicit comparison 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1 explicit comparison 3 marks 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	·		
3 marks in total: Evidence from 1 document only 1 piece of evidence from each document 1 piece of evidence from each document + 1 explicit comparison 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1 explicit comparison 3 marks 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	 Persuasive language 		
Evidence from 1 document only 1 piece of evidence from each document 1 piece of evidence from each document + 1 explicit comparison 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1 explicit comparison 2 marks 2 marks 3 marks 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	Accept any other justifiable technique.		
1 piece of evidence from each document + 1 explicit comparison 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other 2 marks 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1 explicit comparison 3 marks 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons 4 marks 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons 5 marks 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons 6 marks 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons 7 marks 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons 8 marks 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons 9 marks 1 marks 2 marks 3 marks 1 marks 2 marks 2 marks 2 marks 3 marks 4 marks 5 marks 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons 5 marks 6 marks 1 marks 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1 2 marks 3 marks 4 marks 5 marks 6 marks 7 marks 7 marks 8 marks 8 marks 9	3 marks in total:		
1 piece of evidence from each document + 1 explicit comparison 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other 2 marks 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1 explicit comparison 3 marks 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	Evidence from 1 document only		
1 piece of evidence from each document + 1 explicit comparison 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1 explicit comparison 2 marks 2 marks 3 marks 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the		0 marks	
1 piece of evidence from one document + 1 explicit comparison 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other 1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1 explicit comparison 3 marks 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	1 piece of evidence from each document		
1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1 explicit comparison 3 marks 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	1 piece of evidence from each document + 1 explicit comparison	1 mark	
explicit comparison 3 marks 1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons 3 marks Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other	2 marks	
1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons 3 marks Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	1 piece of evidence from one document and 2 from the other + 1	2 marks	
1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons 3 marks Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	•		
Maximum 3 marks. NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	1 piece of guidance from each + 2 gyplicit comparisons	3 marks	
NB: comparisons must be explicit, not implicit, to be awarded any mark for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	1 piece of evidence from each + 2 explicit comparisons	3 marks	
for the comparison element. The example below demonstrates explicit comparison on two separat occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the	Maximum 3 marks.		
occasions (underlined). Eg: Document 1 uses an enthusiastic tone when talking about the castle In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the			
In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the			
	In contrast, Document 2 has a very dismissive tone. They both use persuasive language to convince the reader about their view on the		

Total marks available for Reading assessment	30
Indicative pass mark	20

This page is intentionally blank

Published by City & Guilds 5-6 Giltspur Street London EC1A 9DE T +44 (0)844 543 0000 F +44 (0)20 7294 2413 www.cityandguilds.com

City & Guilds is a registered charity established to promote education and training